## SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, OCTOBER 14, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

**S195439** D056159/D056171 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. ROMAN (BRIAN ARTHUR)** 

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 17, 2011.

S19553 B223204 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. RICHARDSON (LADERICK)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 16, 2011.

S195603 B225838 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. WILKERSON (SATCHELL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 17, 2011.

S195612 D057779 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 CITIZENS FOR

RESPONSIBLE EQUITABLE

**ENVIRONMENTAL** 

DEVELOPMENT v. CITY OF

CHULA VISTA (TARGET

**CORPORATION**)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 15, 2011.

S195635 F061306 Fifth Appellate District CALAVERAS TELEPHONE

COMPANY v. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

(PUBLIC UTILITIES

COMMISSION, DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2011.

**S195658** F061287 Fifth Appellate District

PONDEROSA TELEPHONE CO. v. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (CALAVERAS TELEPHONE COMPANY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2011.

**S195666** B227950 Second Appellate District, Div. 3

SECURITAS SECURITY SERVICES USA, INC. v. S.C. (HOLLAND)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 14, 2011.

**S195669** F060297 Fifth Appellate District

A. (DUSTIN) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 14, 2011.

**S195707** F061259 Fifth Appellate District

HAPPY VALLEY
TELEPHONE COMPANY v.
PUBLIC UTILITIES
COMMISSION (CALAVERAS

TELEPHONE COMPANY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 10, 2011.

**S195710** F061461 Fifth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. DAVIS (KIRK

MONROE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 15, 2011.

**S195711** F059519 Fifth Appellate District

DAVIS (KIRK MONROE) ON

H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 15, 2011.

S195745 B232709 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 **PEOPLE v. S.C. (WRIGHT)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 16, 2011.

S195800 B220077 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. PURCELL (RANDY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to November 17, 2011.

S118775

PEOPLE v. WILSON (JAVANCE MICKEY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Craig Buckser's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by February 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 13, 2011. After that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 420 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S196657

FRANKLIN (HAROLD VINCENT) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S196753 B233963 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 WALLACE (ROGER JAMES) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

## S196814

## TURNER (ANTHONY R.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

## BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 996)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)